

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Patent and Trademark Unice
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED IN	/ENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/295,555	04/21/99	WEYH		Т	GK-ZEI-3049
			_		EXAMINER
026418 REED SMITH	HP	MMC2/0703	•	HANIG	: D
375 PARK AVENUE				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NEW YORK N	/ 10152				
				2873	
				DATE MAILED:	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

07/03/01

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Offic Action Summary	09/295,555	WEYH ET AL.					
One Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Richard Hanig	2873					
Th MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
Peri d for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30 - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum states - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply - Any reply received by the Office later than three months at earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may unication. )) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of th tutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC will by statute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. INTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1) Responsive to communication(s) fil	ed on						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claims 1-10 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
The state of the s							
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic phonty under 35 0.5.6. § 119(e).							
Attachment(s)  15\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \							
15) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  16) ☑ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review  17) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449)	(PTO-948) 19) Notice	e of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 09/295,555

Art Unit: 2873

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1, 4, 7, 8, drawn to laser scanning microscope using fluorescence, classified in class 250, subclass 461.1.
  - II. Claims 2, 3, 5, 6, 9, 10, drawn to means of a microscope, classified in class 359, subclass 389.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because wavelength selection in fluorescence can be done with another means. The subcombination has separate utility such as wavelength selection in the illumination beam.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. A telephone call was made to Mr. G. Kiel on 6/22/01 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Application/Control Number: 09/295,555

Art Unit: 2873

1.143).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR

- 6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Richard Hanig whose telephone number is 703-308-4853. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F: 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Georgia Epps can be reached on 703-308-4883. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7722 for regular communications and 703-308-7721 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

June 29, 2001

Georgia Epps
Supervisory Patent Examiner

**Technology Center 2800** 

Gengen Hape

Page 3